

INDICATOR MATRIX: Urban95 Udaipur (Phase II)													
GOAL	OUTCOMES/ OUTPUTS	INDICATOR	INDICATOR DESCRIPTION	BASELINE	TARGETS	DATA SOURCE	DATA COLLECTION METHOD	FREQUENCY	RESPONSIBILITY (led by PMU/PMSU/UMC)	UNIT OF MEASUREMENT			
Healthier and safer urban neighbourhoods for children (0-5 years) and their caregivers	LO 1: Enhanced adequate ITC-friendly infrastructure provision while contributing to develop inclusive, sustainable and liveable cities and communities	IO 1.1: Scaling up of ITC-friendly infrastructure interventions throughout the city-by-city development agencies	Number of ITC-friendly public spaces created/ upgraded from the existing infrastructure at the city level	Number of new ITC-friendly public spaces created or upgraded / Total number of ITC-friendly recreational & public spaces at present (other than the direct intervention spaces)	2 (aka festival - rock garden, meera park)	7	MIS/ Urban95 dashboard	Survey, Secondary source: landuse map, zoning map etc. Approval letters and design copies/ Submitted design proposals	Annually/ Bi-annually	PMSU	Number		
		STO 1.1.1: Increased access to and utilization of public spaces and Early Childhood Service Centres (ECS) by children and their caregivers particularly at pilot project sites.	Average amount of time per week caregivers engage in outdoor play/ activities in organised green/ recreational spaces with children (0-5 years)	Average number of times per week caregivers with young children (0-5 years) engage in outdoor playing/activities in organised green spaces/ recreation spaces (Difference between baseline and endline)	(1-2)hr/ day	(2-3)hr/ day	Baseline, Midline, Endline	FGD (Focus Group Discussion) - RBA study, Stakeholder (wholesome) survey, Intercept Survey (from Urban95 Toolkit)	> Generally, how often do you visit this place? > How long do you plan to stay at this place today? > Have you or the children you are here with met or interacted with anyone new here? > What is the likelihood you will interact with others you did not come here with?	Bi-Annually	PMU	Number	
		STO 1.1.2: Cross-sectoral/ departmental coordination and partnerships with relevant stakeholders to support/ address services/ requirements/ issues, wrt ITC friendly intervention services	Average duration of visits for infants, Toddlers and their Caregivers at park facilities or Average duration of visits for children (0-5 years) and their caregivers at organised green/ recreational spaces	Average duration per day organised green spaces (Trot, housing area park, neighbourhood playground) are occupied by children (0-5 years) & their caregivers (Difference between baseline and endline)	(1-2)hr/ day	(2-3)hr/ day	Baseline, Midline, Endline	Visual Survey, FGD (Focus Group Discussion) Intercept Survey (from Urban95 Toolkit)	> Generally, how often do you visit this place? > How long do you plan to stay at this place today? > Is this a place for short or long stops? Performing Urban95 Quality Criteria From Urban95 Toolkit	Bi-Annually	PMU	Minutes	
			Number of caregivers making use of key public spaces (streets, parks and playgrounds) at different times of the day	Number of adults accompanying 0-5 year children in a given period of time / Total number of people present in a public space in a given period of time (Difference between baseline and endline)	4 to 5	15 to 20	Baseline, Midline, Endline	Visual Survey, footfall count (ghel foot kit) - diff time capture methodology		Bi-Annually	PMU	Number	
			Number of children (0-5 years) making use of key public spaces (streets, parks and playgrounds) at different times of the day	(Difference between baseline and endline)	4 to 5	15 to 20	Baseline, Midline, Endline	footfall count ++		Bi-Annually	PMU	Number	
			Number of site visitors who are female	Number of female site visitors in a given period of time/ Total number of site visitors in a given period of time	4 to 5	10 to 12	Baseline, Midline, Endline	footfall count		Bi-Annually	PMU	Number	
			% of ITCs walking to public amenities (school/ kindergartens, playground, park, health services, AWCs)	Number of adults accompanying 0-5 year children walking in/ to a public space in a given period of time / Total number of adults accompanying 0-5 year children present in a public space in a given period of time	8 to 10	15 to 20	Baseline, Endline	Visual Survey, FGD (Focus Group Discussion), IDI (In-depth interview), Free writing observations as they occur, focusing on one child or caregiver at a time. Structured notes from a pre-drafted sheet where behaviors are checked off a list. Intercept Survey - URBAN95 TOOLKIT	On-site pedestrian survey covering all public amenities (school/kindergartens, playground, parks, health services) > How did you get here today? > Is it easy to move around in this place with a child?	Annually	PMU	Percentage	
			Travel time on daily routes to healthcare, childcare and key public spaces	(Difference between baseline and endline)	5 to 10 min	to be discussed	Baseline, Endline	FGD (Focus Group Discussion), IDI (In-depth interview) Intercept Survey - URBAN95 TOOLKIT	On-site pedestrian survey covering all public amenities (school/kindergartens, playground, parks, health services) > How did you get here today? and the time taken to reach? > Is it easy to move around in this place with a child?	Annually	UMC?	Minutes	
			% of daily trips by non-motorized means (Questionnaire from PMU)	Number of non-motorized trips in a day/ Total number of daily trips (Difference between baseline and endline)	2 to 3 times	4 to 5 times	Baseline, Endline	same mechanism as park/ public space survey Any switch of accessibility mode? People moving count/ footfall - sample size (to have a number eg. Min 200) Traffic survey at specific routes/destination		Annually	PMU	Percentage	
			Perception of safety of key public amenities (streets, parks, playspaces, school, health services etc.) for ITCs	Number of adults accompanying 0-5 year children who informed feeling safe at or around key public amenities in a given period of time/ Total number of adults accompanying 0-5 year children interviewed at or around key public amenities in a given period of time	—	—	Baseline, Endline	Intercept Survey (questions to include)		Annually	PMU	Percentage	
			% of city budget allocated for initiatives to support young children (0-5 years) and caregivers	Budget allocation from UMC and other interested agencies (national & international) willing to invest in Udaipur for ITC-friendly interventions/ Total city budget in a year	—	—	Govt records (published ULR budget report) /Secondary data	1 - Investment for ITC (fund allocation) 2 - organisations working on the similar objectives for ITC - budget from UMC 3 - Private players' investments (Direct & indirect benefits/ investments for the ITC related projects)	One time data collection/ Annually	UMC	Percentage		
			Organized Parking Spaces	(as per behaviour of the parking space users)	20 on street, 20 off streets	TBD (not in ToR)	Baseline, Endline	Visual Survey		Bi-Annually	UMC	Number	
		Sustained positive behavior change of relevant stakeholder towards the needs and rights of ITC young children in the public realm	LO 3: Sustained positive behavior change of relevant stakeholder towards the needs and rights of ITC young children in the public realm	Output 1.1: ITC friendly infrastructure created/ upgraded/ pilot projects, Child-responsive urban planning	Number of Children Priority Zones (CPZs) created	Number envisages as per the ToR of Urban95 Phase-II	0	2	MIS	Primary Source - Field Survey Site wise information collection and project completion letters from UMC.	Annually	PMU	Number
					Number/length of ITC-friendly streets developed	direct/ immediate implementation / activities as per ToR	0	1	MIS	Primary Source - Field Survey Site wise information collection and project completion letters from UMC.	Annually	PMU	Number/ftm.
				Output 1.2: Transparent Monitoring and Evaluation of ICN initiatives, inclusion of ICN inputs/ data sources for primary stakeholders	Number of ITC-friendly traffic junctions developed	direct/ immediate implementation / activities as per ToR	0	3	MIS	Primary Source - Field Survey Site wise information collection and project completion letters from UMC.	Annually	PMU	Number
	Number of ITC-friendly parks developed			direct/ immediate implementation / activities as per ToR	2	2	MIS	Primary Source - Field Survey Site wise information collection and project completion letters from UMC.	Annually	PMU	Number		
	Number of existing Aanganwadi Centres (AWCs) transformed into model centres			direct/ immediate implementation / activities as per ToR	0	2	MIS	Visual Survey, IDI (In-depth Interview), Secondary Data Site wise information collection and project completion letters from UMC.	Annually	PMU	Number		
	Number of PHCs retrofitted with child and family friendly features ( % of ITC in OPDs on a daily scale) PHC is connected to AWC, Source: ppt (ITC)			direct/ immediate implementation / activities as per ToR	0	2	MIS	Primary Source - Field Survey Site wise information collection and project completion letters from UMC.	One time data collection/ Annually	PMU	Number		
	Number of co-ordination meetings conducted amongst various department heads			inter sectoral/ departmental meetings schedules and carried out by and with the team of Urban95	0	10 (tbd)	MIS	Event reports	Bi-Annually	PMSU	Number		
	Creation of child safety guidelines			Number of approved policies and guidelines	0	1	MIS/ Urban95 dashboard	Approval letters and Policy copies	Once in the project tenure	PMU	Number		
	Number of kids festival or No. of community/city scale events (Urban95 kids festival) conducted in city			Number of kids festival organized during the project tenure	1	2	MIS/ Urban95 dashboard	Event reports	Annually	PMU	Number		
IO 1.2: Governance structure (mandatory and improved resources through dedicated budget & cross sectoral coordination committee) to address children's issues are established or improved (and strengthened)	Frequency of maintenance of key ITC facilities			Periodic Maintenance of key ITC facilities by UMC (daily/ weekly/ monthly)	0	once a year after completion	MIS	FGDs, Charts from resp. parks/ destinations Observation/ systematic on-site verification/ and Data from interviews with caregivers and service providers	Bi-Annually	PMU	Number		
	Number of policies/ guidelines developed/ amended addressing the needs of ITCs			(Difference between baseline and endline)	0	1	Baseline, Endline	Checklist (Period - 6 - 8 months)	—	PMU	Number		
	% of children/young people participating in the council/ expressing satisfaction/ suggestions/ recommendations with their participation in municipal decisions			No. of children/young people participating in the council / municipally meetings (Difference between baseline and endline)	0	TBD	Baseline, Midline, Endline	Observational on-site survey of all RWA/equivalent bodies at neighbourhood level, Observational on-site survey of specific sample of RWA/equivalent bodies at neighbourhood level, Desk based estimation For example - based on existing information & with systematic on-site verification. Desk based estimation For example - based on Benchmarks Thriving - More than 3 recommendation from RWA/ equivalent bodies to ULB is from women representatives articulated in RWA meetings Striving - 1- 3 recommendation > 3 from RWA/ equivalent bodies	Annually	PMSU	Percentage		
	Media coverage on the importance and project related of ECD			(Difference between baseline and endline)	15	25 to 30	Baseline, Midline, Endline	Primary Recording		Annually	PMSU/ PMU	Number	
Output 2.1: Strengthened institutional capacities & skills of relevant stakeholders towards ITCs	Number of training workshops for city engineers, planners, architect and urban designers from the UMC, ULB, Udaipur Smart City Ltd., and Town Planning offices of Udaipur on Urban95 objectives			description of training workshop as per the ToR	0	7	MIS	Primary Recording wrt the ToR of the project, media coverage, attendance sheet records, etc. Conference/workshop reports	Bi-Annually	PMSU	Number		
Output 2.2: Increased awareness on and reduced inequalities in access to ITC friendly spaces and services available in the city.	Number of participants attended capacity building workshops			Total participants who attended the capacity building workshops	0	15 per workshop (assumed)	MIS	Primary Recording, Keeping a track via attendance sheet and RSVPs for meeting / conference invitations	Bi-Annually	PMU	Number		
	Number of participants who attended community/ city scale events	Participants who attended the Urban95 Kids Festival (disaggregated by age/ sex)	0	8 to 10,000	MIS	Primary Recording wrt the ToR of the project, media coverage, attendance sheet records, etc.	Annually	PMU	Number				
	Number of UMS material developed and shared with stakeholders (kids festival, events, Meetings, )	material on Urban95 programs or details on tasks as per ToR/ objectives of the program?	10+	15+	MIS	Copies of modules and process documentation	Bi-Annually	PMSU	Number				
	ITC master checklist developed	Approved draft of ICN master checklist	0	1	MIS/ Urban95 dashboard	Approval letters from UMC/PMSU/ Formal document of UMC	Once in three years Once in the project tenure	PMU	Number				
	Number of training conferences hosted with supported UMC wrt Urban95 program	No. of presentations and hand-outs	5	20 to 25	MIS	Primary Recording, Keeping a track via attendance sheet and RSVPs for meeting / conference invitations Conference/workshop reports	Annually	PMSU	Number				
	Number of consultations organized for Policy development	Stakeholder meetings/ FGDs done during site visits/ consultations	0	3	MIS	Primary Recording, Keeping a track via attendance sheet and RSVPs for meeting / conference invitations Conference/workshop reports	Annually	PMU	Number				
	Feedback sharing sessions/ mechanism for participatory meeting/ trainings (impact assessment report)	The suggestions and comments / aspirations raised by the user group of the program - can be via vocal recordings, transcribing, MoMs, feedback sheets	1	2	MIS	Feedback sessions and forms record from the participants who have attended the events, Questionnaires, Recorded collective conversations generated by the team, Workshop assignments, like diagrams and maps etc	Annually	PMSU	Percentage/ Number				
	ITC dashboard developed and approved	Developed one ITC dashboard	0	1	Urban95 dashboard/ MIS	Approval letters from UMC/PMSU/ Formal document of UMC	Once in the project tenure	PMU	Number				

Note: The component on Air quality and Noise Pollution check based on the interventions implemented wrt ToR will be covered indirectly (may or may not be quantitative - depending upon the project) for various indicators with the help of device provided to the PMUs and T&E teams. The main idea is to have a small-scale observation and to analyze the effect of Air quality and noise pollution wrt various Urban95 program interventions in the city of Udaipur.